

Faculty Compensation and Startup Commitment When Hired

IPA Report for the UC Merced Faculty Salary Equity Committee

As a rapidly growing and recently formed research university, UC Merced faces unique challenges in its effort to ensure that faculty pay, opportunities, and advancement are equitable by gender and race/ethnicity. Of particular interest to UC Merced are equitable financial conditions when hired, start-up support and remuneration, and current salary paid. Equitable support and salary at hire and equitable current salary paid are especially important concerns for a new and growing university because any gender or minority differences cannot be attributed to the entrenched tenured faculty and past practices found at many universities.

On one hand, UC Merced's short history means that all faculty have been hired in the past decade. Therefore, it is possible to reliably study conditions at hire from internal records (provided by the Academic Personnel Office) in spite of small overall campus size. On the other hand, the number of UCM ladder-rank faculty cannot support the Yahr version of the AAUP recommended intramural two-step regression methodology for faculty salary equity. In the two-step regression-based methodology, the relationships between discipline, experience and salary are established using the records of white males, and then those relationships are used to create an expected salary for women and minorities and the differences between expected and observed salaries are analyzed for evidence of bias. In other words, the AAUP/Yahr strategy explores whether women and minorities would be paid more or less than they actually are paid if the rules that yielded salary that applied to white men were applied to them. UC Merced does not have enough white males to reliably produce the salary models. Instead, UC Merced proposed an extramural strategy to establish expected white male salaries. The equations will then be applied to males and females and then minorities, and the differences between expected and observed salaries for men and women and minority faculty or other faculty will be compared. The differences between observed and expected values should not be associated with sex or minority status. In other words, all UC Merced faculty will be included and expected salaries will be produced as if they were white males at other UC institutions. This first report will focus on the financial support and salary paid new faculty when hired.

Unit of Analysis

It is important to remember that the unit of analysis for equity studies is the institution or organizational units within the institution. The analyses make use of the records of individual faculty members but there is no analytical judgment about the correctness or accuracy of any individual salary record, only grouped data. The factors that shape an individual's salary reflect many factors, especially individual performance differences that are assumed to be randomly distributed across males and females. Unless there is evidence of gender or minority bias at a school or institutional level, these reports will assert that there is no evidence to consider the accuracy of salaries paid individuals. If evidence is found at the school or institutional level, then the reports will assert that individual cases should be examined and

that corrections might be required. To reiterate, the results reported here should not be used to determine the accuracy of the salary paid an individual.

Support Commitment and Salary at Hire

Among the conditions of a new faculty position at UC Merced are the following:

- Published Scale Amount (From UCOP tables)
- Off-Scale Salary
- Total Salary
- Faculty Recruitment Allowance
- Relocation Allowance
- Startup
- Graduate Student Support
- Equipment
- Total Startup (Startup plus Graduate Student Support plus Equipment)
- Mortgage Plan
- Promised Summer Employment

The analyses of support, incentives and salary at hire will employ either analysis of variance for dollar amounts or chi-square statistics for categorical values (e.g., moving expenses paid, yes or no). Results that exceed a 0.10 probability level will be flagged because the numbers are small and failure to find a difference where there was one (Type 1 Error) should be minimized. All dollar amounts are expressed in 2012-13 dollars by adjusting for inflation (CPI calculator, BLS.gov). Table 1 reports the gender distribution of 172 faculty included in the study, 34% of whom have been women, and that women have more often been employed in Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts (SSHA) (41%) and Natural Sciences (37%) than in Engineering (19%) or Economics and Management (1 of 7 faculty). In this analysis and in the subsequent salary analysis, economics and management from SSHA were combined and treated as if they were an identifiable school because comparable salaries in these areas are significantly higher. Table 1 displays the percentage of new earned doctorates in 2011 for comparison. Contrary to conventional wisdom, UC Merced more closely mirrors gender composition nationally in Engineering and Natural Sciences than in SSHA. (There were too few faculty overall in economics and management to make a similar statement.) If earned doctorates in 2001, a decade before, were used as a better indicator of the national marketplace for women faculty by discipline, then Engineering and Natural Sciences would be at or above national figures and the difference between UC Merced and national figures for SSHA disciplines would be reduced to 14%.

Table 2 is comprised of two sections, the campus and schools overall and then chi-square analyses that are repeated for each academic division. The analysis of variance group means dollar value comparisons by sex for all factors found none that were statistically significant. That is not to say that there was no reason for concern. In nearly 90% of comparisons, the dollar value associated with females was lower than the value associated with males. In general, that pattern can be explained by the first chi-square analysis that showed that males were more likely to be (81%) than associate or assistant professors, and

full professors tend to earn more than associate or assistant professors. Whether or not it is appropriate that full professors were more likely to be male is another matter.

The remaining chi-square tests reported in Table 2 at the campus or school levels were uninteresting with only one exception. Male faculty members in SSHA were less likely to receive moving expenses.

Table 3 reports the results of the Table 1 analyses applied to faculty minority status. In contrast with the dearth of differences found by gender, there were several comparisons that exhibited differences by minority status. (For this study, the group underrepresented minority was comprised of Latin American/Latino, Black/African-American, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Mexican/Mexican-American/Chicano, Hispanic, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, Other Spanish/Spanish-American.) Underrepresented minority faculty (URMF) received less startup funds in SSHA (but not less total startup), and much more graduate student support in Natural Sciences. The Academic Personnel Office should examine the startup differences to insure that there were reasonable explanations for these differences.

Summary

This first part of UC Merced's faculty salary equity study focused on the salary, startup costs, and other incentives agreed to by new hires. As such, the analyses were limited to successful searches. It is possible, though given the results very unlikely, that unsuccessful offers were systematically biased. The large majority of comparative results rarely found cause for further consideration, but those rare differences are obviously important and will be reiterated here:

Gender

- The faculty in SSHA does not include as many women as would be expected given the gender characteristics of new doctorates. Over half of faculty in SSHA fields would be expected to be female, especially given UC Merced's concentration in psychology.
- If there are searches for full professors, then there should be a renewed effort to recruit women for the positions.

Underrepresented Minorities

- While it might appear that startup support for underrepresented minorities in SSHA should be reviewed, there was no difference in total startup support.
- Overall, those receiving startup monies were more likely to be underrepresented minorities. When then considered by school, the differences were limited to SSHA. Please note again that the amount of startup support in total in each school, including SSHA, was not significantly less for underrepresented minority faculty.
- Any differences found in Engineering and Natural Sciences favored underrepresented minority faculty.

Table 1: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

Overall Hires	% Female	% Male	Female	Male	Sum	SED11*	National -	SED01	National -
							UC Merced		UC Merced
							Difference		Difference
Economics and Management	14%	86%	1	6	7	38%	-23%	31%	-17%
School of Engineering	19%	81%	7	30	37	22%	-3%	17%	2%
School of Natural Sciences	37%	63%	22	37	59	43%	-6%	38%	-1%
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	41%	59%	28	41	69	58%	-18%	55%	-14%
Sum	34%	66%	58	114	172	46%	-13%	44%	-10%

* SED11 NSF/NIH/USED/USDA/NEH/NASA, Survey of Earned Doctorates (http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/sed/2011/data_table.cfm)

Table 2: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

Analysis of Variance Results		P > F	F	M
Published Scale Amount				
	School of Engineering	0.275	\$84,467	\$94,827
	School of Natural Sciences	0.607	\$69,284	\$72,470
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.242	\$67,901	\$74,163
Off Scale Salary (Includes zero values)				
	School of Engineering	0.342	\$11,176	\$16,523
	School of Natural Sciences	0.506	\$10,376	\$11,247
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.295	\$9,406	\$11,875
Total Salary				
	School of Engineering	0.201	\$95,642	\$111,350
	School of Natural Sciences	0.528	\$79,661	\$83,716
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.170	\$76,971	\$85,748
Faculty Recruitment Allowance (Includes zero values)				
	School of Engineering	0.540	\$6,501	\$3,540
	School of Natural Sciences	0.761	\$3,101	\$3,843
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.225	\$3,677	\$7,096
Previously Listed as Relocation Allowance (Includes zero values)				
	School of Engineering	0.544	\$8,587	\$5,736
	School of Natural Sciences	0.772	\$1,526	\$1,967
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.267	\$1,269	\$3,962
Startup (Includes zero values in some schools and accounting practices changed in some schools)				
	School of Engineering	0.909	\$359,647	\$347,502
	School of Natural Sciences	0.360	\$432,467	\$378,585
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.573	\$66,898	\$72,979
Graduate Student Support (Includes zero values in some schools and accounting practices changed in some schools)				
	School of Engineering	0.717	\$82,443	\$72,826
	School of Natural Sciences	0.502	\$28,666	\$37,792
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.858	\$10,355	\$11,487
Equipment (Includes zero values in some schools and accounting practices changed in some schools)				
	School of Engineering	0.312	\$103,852	\$57,364
	School of Natural Sciences	0.750	\$76,336	\$65,735
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.218	\$0	\$3,024
Total Startup				
	School of Engineering	0.438	\$597,857	\$517,601
	School of Natural Sciences	0.759	\$565,046	\$544,614
	School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.333	\$105,779	\$120,072

Chi Squares

Initial Rank

Assistant	38%	62%	46	75
Associate	36%	64%	5	9
Professor	19%	81%	7	30
	34%	66%	58	114

Chi-Square Probability 0.098

Year of Hire

2003-04	36%	64%	5	9
2004-05	35%	65%	6	11

Table 2: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

2005-06	39%	61%	7	11
2006-07	25%	75%	6	18
2007-08	17%	83%	3	15
2008-09	43%	57%	10	13
2009-10	22%	78%	2	7
2010-11	50%	50%	5	5
2011-12	25%	75%	4	12
2012-13	43%	57%	10	13
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.571			
Mortgage Plan				
None	35%	65%	11	20
Up to and including \$350K	37%	63%	44	76
Over \$350K	14%	86%	3	18
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.132			
Promised Summer 9ths				
None	17%	83%	1	5
One or two	33%	67%	5	10
Three through five	34%	66%	52	99
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.665			
Moving Expenses				
Yes	35%	65%	56	105
No	18%	82%	2	9
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.260			
Received Off-Scale Amount				
Yes	33%	67%	53	108
No	45%	55%	5	6
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.395			
Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	33%	67%	54	109
No	44%	56%	4	5
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.485			
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	28%	72%	17	43
No	37%	63%	41	71
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.274			
Received Equipment Support				
Yes	31%	69%	15	33
No	35%	65%	43	81
	34%	66%	58	114
Chi-Square Probability	0.670			
Engineering				
Initial Rank				
Assistant	25%	75%	6	18

Table 2: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

Associate	0%	100%	0	3
Professor	10%	90%	1	9
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.4072			
Year of Hire				
2003-04	40%	60%	2	3
2004-05	0%	100%	0	1
2005-06	14%	86%	1	6
2006-07	40%	60%	2	3
2007-08	0%	100%	0	5
2008-09	33%	67%	1	2
2009-10	0%	100%	0	2
2010-11	0%	100%	0	1
2011-12	25%	75%	1	3
2012-13	0%	100%	0	4
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.6858			
Mortgage Plan				
None	25%	75%	2	6
Up to and including \$350K	15%	85%	3	17
Over \$350K	22%	78%	2	7
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.7957			
Promised Summer 9ths				
None	0%	100%	0	2
One or two	0%	100%	0	1
Three through five	21%	79%	7	27
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.6833			
Moving Expenses				
Yes	20%	80%	7	28
No	0%	100%	0	2
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.4824			
Received Off-Scale Amount				
Yes	19%	81%	7	29
No	0%	100%	0	1
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.624			
Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	19%	81%	7	30
No			0	0
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	NA			
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	22%	78%	5	18
No	14%	86%	2	12
	19%	81%	7	30
Chi-Square Probability	0.575			

Table 2: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

Received Equipment Support				
Yes	28%	72%	5	13
No	11%	89%	2	17
	19%	81%	7	30

Chi-Square Probability 0.181

Natural Sciences

Initial Rank				
Assistant	38%	63%	18	30
Associate	50%	50%	1	1
Professor	33%	67%	3	6
	37%	63%	22	37

Chi-Square Probability 0.9051

Year of Hire				
2003-04	43%	57%	3	4
2004-05	17%	83%	1	5
2005-06	57%	43%	4	3
2006-07	25%	75%	2	6
2007-08	25%	75%	2	6
2008-09	38%	63%	3	5
2009-10	0%	100%	0	3
2010-11	100%	0%	1	0
2011-12	20%	80%	1	4
2012-13	83%	17%	5	1
	37%	63%	22	37

Chi-Square Probability 0.1652

Mortgage Plan				
None	40%	60%	6	9
Up to and including \$350K	41%	59%	15	22
Over \$350K	14%	86%	1	6
	37%	63%	22	37

Chi-Square Probability 0.4069

Promised Summer 9ths				
None			0	0
One or two	50%	50%	1	1
Three through five	37%	63%	21	36
	37%	63%	22	37

Chi-Square Probability 0.7053

Moving Expenses				
Yes	37%	63%	20	34
No	40%	60%	2	3
	37%	63%	22	37

Chi-Square Probability 0.8957

Received Off-Scale Amount				
Yes	38%	62%	22	36
No	0%	100%	0	1
	37%	63%	22	37

Chi-Square Probability 0.4367

Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	38%	62%	22	36
No	0%	100%	0	1

Table 2: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

		37%	63%	22	37
	Chi-Square Probability	0.4367			
Received Grad Student Support					
Yes		30%	70%	6	14
No		41%	59%	16	23
		37%	63%	22	37
	Chi-Square Probability	0.4071			
Received Equipment Support					
Yes		37%	63%	10	17
No		38%	63%	12	20
		37%	63%	22	37
	Chi-Square Probability	0.9708			

Social Sciences, Humanities, and Art

Initial Rank					
Assistant		47%	53%	21	24
Associate		44%	56%	4	5
Professor		20%	80%	3	12
		41%	59%	28	41
	Chi-Square Probability	0.1843			
Year of Hire					
2003-04		0%	100%	0	2
2004-05		56%	44%	5	4
2005-06		50%	50%	2	2
2006-07		25%	75%	2	6
2007-08		20%	80%	1	4
2008-09		50%	50%	6	6
2009-10		67%	33%	2	1
2010-11		50%	50%	4	4
2011-12		29%	71%	2	5
2012-13		36%	64%	4	7
		41%	59%	28	41
	Chi-Square Probability	0.7282			
Mortgage Plan					
None		43%	57%	3	4
Up to and including \$350K		43%	57%	25	33
Over \$350K		0%	100%	0	4
		41%	59%	28	41
	Chi-Square Probability	0.2346			
Promised Summer 9ths					
None		0%	100%	0	1
One or two		38%	62%	5	8
Three through five		42%	58%	23	32
		41%	59%	28	41
	Chi-Square Probability	0.6900			
Moving Expenses					
Yes		43%	57%	28	37
No		0%	100%	0	4
		41%	59%	28	41
	Chi-Square Probability	0.0886			

Received Off-Scale Amount

Table 2: Commitments for Successful Ladder Rank Faculty Recruitments by Sex and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

Yes	38%	62%	23	37
No	56%	44%	5	4
	41%	59%	28	41
Chi-Square Probability	0.3265			
Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	38%	62%	24	39
No	67%	33%	4	2
	41%	59%	28	41
Chi-Square Probability	0.1732			
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	46%	54%	6	7
No	39%	61%	22	34
	41%	59%	28	41
Chi-Square Probability	0.6496			
Received Equipment Support				
Yes	0%	100%	0	3
No	42%	58%	28	38
	41%	59%	28	41
Chi-Square Probability	0.1433			

Table 3: Commitments for Successful Ladder-Rank Faculty Recruitments by Minority Status and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

	% URMF*	% Other	URMF*	Other	Sum
Overall Hires					
Economics and Management	0%	100%	0	7	7
School of Engineering	14%	86%	5	32	37
School of Natural Sciences	14%	86%	8	51	59
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	16%	84%	11	58	69
Sum	14%	86%	24	148	172

Analysis of Variance Results

	P > F	URMF*	Other
Published Scale Amount			
School of Engineering	0.421	\$85,269	\$94,054
School of Natural Sciences	0.412	\$65,856	\$72,389
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.216	\$64,153	\$73,038
Off Scale Salary (Includes zero values)			
School of Engineering	0.346	\$20,763	\$14,691
School of Natural Sciences	0.150	\$8,642	\$11,280
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.518	\$9,190	\$11,212
Total Salary			
School of Engineering	0.849	\$106,032	\$108,745
School of Natural Sciences	0.397	\$75,553	\$83,247
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.220	\$73,343	\$83,864
Faculty Recruitment Allowance (Includes zero values)			
School of Engineering	0.123	\$11,377	\$2,963
School of Natural Sciences	0.242	\$7,037	\$3,022
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.911	\$6,067	\$5,641
Previously Listed as Relocation Allowance (Includes zero values)			
School of Engineering	0.882	\$6,968	\$6,167
School of Natural Sciences	0.411	\$3,321	\$1,564
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.117	\$7,136	\$2,060
Startup (Includes zero values in some schools and accounting practices changed in some schools)			
School of Engineering	0.236	\$473,375	\$330,491
School of Natural Sciences	0.882	\$387,930	\$400,362
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.013	\$41,059	\$76,097
Graduate Student Support (Includes zero values in some schools and accounting practices changed in some schools)			
School of Engineering	0.141	\$112,840	\$68,678
School of Natural Sciences	0.029	\$69,887	\$28,821
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.163	\$20,867	\$9,162
Equipment (Includes zero values in some schools and accounting practices changed in some schools)			
School of Engineering	0.574	\$40,409	\$70,183
School of Natural Sciences	0.574	\$92,548	\$66,102
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.518	\$0	\$2,138
Total Startup			
School of Engineering	0.115	\$692,095	\$507,892
School of Natural Sciences	0.605	\$594,302	\$545,634
School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts	0.332	\$98,131	\$117,333

Table 3: Commitments for Successful Ladder-Rank Faculty Recruitments by Minority Status and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

		% URMF*	% Other	URMF*	Other
Chi Squares					
Initial Rank					
	Assistant	16%	84%	19	102
	Associate	7%	93%	1	13
	Professor	11%	89%	4	33
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.562			
Year of Hire					
	2003-04	0%	100%	0	14
	2004-05	35%	65%	6	11
	2005-06	22%	78%	4	14
	2006-07	17%	83%	4	20
	2007-08	6%	94%	1	17
	2008-09	17%	83%	4	19
	2009-10	11%	89%	1	8
	2010-11	10%	90%	1	9
	2011-12	6%	94%	1	15
	2012-13	9%	91%	2	21
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.177			
Mortgage Plan					
	None	3%	97%	1	30
	Up to and including \$350K	15%	85%	18	102
	Over \$350K	24%	76%	5	16
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.092			
Promised Summer 9ths					
	None	0%	100%	0	6
	One or two	0%	100%	0	15
	Three through five	16%	84%	24	127
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.144			
Moving Expenses					
	Yes	14%	86%	23	138
	No	9%	91%	1	10
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.631			
Received Off-Scale Amount					
	Yes	14%	86%	23	138
	No	9%	91%	1	10
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.631			
Received Start-Up Monies					
	Yes	12%	88%	20	143
	No	44%	56%	4	5
		14%	86%	24	148
	Chi-Square Probability	0.007			

Table 3: Commitments for Successful Ladder-Rank Faculty Recruitments by Minority Status and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

	% URMF*	% Other	URMF*	Other
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	23%	77%	14	46
No	9%	91%	10	102
	14%	86%	24	148
Chi-Square Probability	0.009			
Received Equipment Support				
Yes	17%	83%	8	40
No	13%	87%	16	108
	14%	86%	24	148
Chi-Square Probability	0.523			
Engineering				
Initial Rank				
Assistant	13%	88%	3	21
Associate	33%	67%	1	2
Professor	10%	90%	1	9
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.567			
Year of Hire				
2003-04	0%	100%	0	5
2004-05	0%	100%	0	1
2005-06	29%	71%	2	5
2006-07	20%	80%	1	4
2007-08	20%	80%	1	4
2008-09	33%	67%	1	2
2009-10	0%	100%	0	2
2010-11	0%	100%	0	1
2011-12	0%	100%	0	4
2012-13	0%	100%	0	4
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.800			
Mortgage Plan				
None	0%	100%	0	8
Up to and including \$350K	15%	85%	3	17
Over \$350K	22%	78%	2	7
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.392			
Promised Summer 9ths				
None	0%	100%	0	2
One or two	0%	100%	0	1
Three through five	15%	85%	5	29
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.775			
Moving Expenses				
Yes	14%	86%	5	30
No	0%	100%	0	2
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.565			

Table 3: Commitments for Successful Ladder-Rank Faculty Recruitments by Minority Status and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

	% URMF*	% Other	URMF*	Other
Received Off-Scale Amount				
Yes	14%	86%	5	31
No	0%	100%	0	1
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.689			
Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	14%	86%	5	32
No	0%	100%	0	0
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	NA			
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	22%	78%	5	18
No	0%	100%	0	14
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.061			
Received Equipment Support				
Yes	17%	83%	3	15
No	11%	89%	2	17
	14%	86%	5	32
Chi-Square Probability	0.585			
Natural Sciences				
Initial Rank				
Assistant	15%	85%	7	41
Associate	0%	100%	0	2
Professor	11%	89%	1	8
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.818			
Year of Hire				
2003-04	0%	100%	0	7
2004-05	33%	67%	2	4
2005-06	29%	71%	2	5
2006-07	13%	88%	1	7
2007-08	0%	100%	0	8
2008-09	25%	75%	2	6
2009-10	33%	67%	1	2
2010-11	0%	100%	0	1
2011-12	0%	100%	0	5
2012-13	0%	100%	0	6
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.394			
Mortgage Plan				
None	7%	93%	1	14
Up to and including \$350K	14%	86%	5	32
Over \$350K	29%	71%	2	5
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.376			

Table 3: Commitments for Successful Ladder-Rank Faculty Recruitments by Minority Status and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

	% URMF*	% Other	URMF*	Other
Promised Summer 9ths				
None	0%	100%	0	2
One or two			0	0
Three through five	14%	86%	8	49
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.569			
Moving Expenses				
Yes	13%	87%	7	47
No	20%	80%	1	4
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.660			
Received Off-Scale Amount				
Yes	14%	86%	8	50
No	0%	100%	0	1
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.690			
Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	14%	86%	8	50
No	0%	100%	0	1
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.690			
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	25%	75%	5	15
No	8%	92%	3	36
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.066			
Received Equipment Support				
Yes	19%	81%	5	22
No	9%	91%	3	29
	14%	86%	8	51
Chi-Square Probability	0.307			
Social Sciences, Humanities, and Art**				
Initial Rank				
Assistant	20%	80%	9	36
Associate	0%	100%	0	9
Professor	13%	87%	2	13
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.311			
Year of Hire				
2003-04	0%	100%	0	2
2004-05	44%	56%	4	5
2005-06	0%	100%	0	4
2006-07	25%	75%	2	6
2007-08	0%	100%	0	5
2008-09	8%	92%	1	11
2009-10	0%	100%	0	3
2010-11	13%	88%	1	7
2011-12	14%	86%	1	6
2012-13	18%	82%	2	9

Table 3: Commitments for Successful Ladder-Rank Faculty Recruitments by Minority Status and Primary Organizational Unit

Note: Dollar amounts have been adjusted for inflation to 2012-13 dollars

	% URMF*	% Other	URMF*	Other
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.415			
Mortgage Plan				
None	0%	100%	0	7
Up to and including \$350K	17%	83%	10	48
Over \$350K	25%	75%	1	3
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.439			
Promised Summer 9ths				
None	0%	100%	0	1
One or two	0%	100%	0	13
Three through five	20%	80%	11	44
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.189			
Moving Expenses				
Yes	15%	85%	10	55
No	25%	75%	1	3
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.610			
Received Off-Scale Amount				
Yes	17%	83%	10	50
No	11%	89%	1	8
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.671			
Received Start-Up Monies				
Yes	11%	89%	7	56
No	67%	33%	4	2
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.0004			
Received Grad Student Support				
Yes	31%	69%	4	9
No	13%	88%	7	49
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.105			
Received Equipment Support				
Yes	0%	100%	0	3
No	17%	83%	11	55
	16%	84%	11	58
Chi-Square Probability	0.441			

* URMF is underrepresented minority

For this study, underrepresented minority used the NIH definition and was comprised of Latin American/Latino, Black/African-American, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Mexican/Mexican-American/Chicano, Hispanic, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, Other Spanish/Spanish-American.

** Please consider distribution of minority faculty by disciplinary area as displayed on the next table. Minority faculty were overrepresented in literatures and cultures and anthropology. Conversely, they were underrepresented in psychology, cognitive science, history, political science, and world cultures and history.